Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/543,140	KINOSHITA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HENOK G. HEYI	2627
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>HENOK G. HEYI</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Luminita A. Todor</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 December 2009	Time: <u>10:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 6,16 and whatever else depends on these claims		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	B DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Henok G Heyi/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/543,140

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed to applicant's representative to insert the claim limitations of claims 2 and 6 into claim 1 in order to put the claim in condition for allowance, Ms. Todor agreed to the claim amendement after discussing it with her client. There was also a minor spelling error in claim 16 that we discussed and agreed to change that too..